DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 211 327

SE C35 882

AUTHOR

Switzer, Thomas: Voss, Burton

TITLE

Integrating the Teaching of Science and Sccial

Studies.

PUE DATE

81

NOTE 16p.

EDRS PRICE

16p.: Contains occasional light and broken type.

MP01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

Course Descriptions: Elementary School Science:

Elementary Secondary Education: Integrated

Curriculum: *Interdisciplinary Approach: *Program Descriptions: Science Course Improvement Frojects: *Science Curriculum: Science Education: Scientific Literacy: Secondary School Science: *Social Studies:

*Technology

I DENTIFIERS

*Science and Society: Technological literacy

ABSTRACT

Examples of the interface of science and society are offered as several persuasive reasons for integrating science and social studies curriculum in elementary and secondary schools. These reasons include: (1) the search for new personal and societal values as a result of scientific and technological development in prolonging human life, in transportation, and in genetic engineering: (2) the crucial responsibility of citizen action in social pclicy decisions involving science and technology; and (3) teaching science and social studies within a framework which focuses on topic areas important in the present and future lives of our students, while at the same time providing them with skills and attitudes necessary for universal aecision-making. Five curricula are reviewed which emphasize the integration of science and social studies topics including: (1) People and Technology: (2) Human Sciences Program; (3) NSTA Project on Energy Enriched Curriculum (PEEC): (4) Man Curriculum: and (5) Federation for Unified Science Education (FUSE). (Author/JN)

Peproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION

CENTER (ERIC)/)

INTEGRATING THE TEACHING OF SCIENCE AND SOCIAL STUDIES Burton

Thomas Switzer and Burton Voss The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

This paper calls for the integration of science and social studies curriculum in elementary and secondary schools. An expected response to this call would be a charge of fadism or "here we go again." Teachers at all levels, beseiged with frequent requests to do more and do it well with fewer resources, are justified in approaching suggestions for curricular change with reason and caution. These increasing demands on teachers must not prevent us, however, from intelligent reflective thought concerning important curricular linkages for the future. The integration of science and social studies teaching, we believe, is one such promising direction.

The Ann Arbor News of February 22, 1981, carried a feature article headlined, NEUTRONS PROLE ANCIENT CULTURES, MODERN MURDERS. The article detailed the work of a University of Michigan professor of chemistry who had applied neutron activation to probe problems normally left to researchers in the humanities. His research on artifacts had even brought into question long standing economic theories of inflation. That same issue of the newspaper carried stories on French researchers predicting heart attacks by use of a simple blood test, and on researchers in Kansas who are trying to create a radio-active hybrid antibody that would zero in on cancer like a "smart bomb" and destroy malignancies with minimal damage to healthy tissues.

Stories such as these are seen everyday in newspapers, magazines, and on T.V. and heard on radio. Science and its application through technology has indeed done wonderous things to increase the predictability

and quality of life and to help unravel the mysteries of the past. The image of science and technology portrayed in these stories is, of course, the "good guy" image which has been so much a part of our past. We have come to expect science to do just such marvelous things since, after all, "scientists say" and "scientists know".

But the Paul Bunyan image of science is only one way in which science is portrayed to us today through the inundation of mass media. The Paul Bunyan and Babe the big but gentle blue ox image of science and technology is frequently, and increasingly, matched with a Darth Vadar image of science as dark and sinister. After all, science and technology. pollutes our air and water, destroys our silence, invades our privacy, puts cancer causing chemicals in our food, and destroys our image of a serene rural past. Will the real science and technology please stand up?

When science was small and relatively simple and when abundance was the name of the game, it was easy for society at large to pay homage to science and to scientists who were constantly discovering new ways to make life better. Certainly there were some concerns how society was changing as a result of new science and new technology, but the benefits seemed to far outweigh the potential consequences.

But science is no longer simple and small, and technology seems to dominate us. The cost-benefit ratio of the past is no longer clear cut. Although we still value science and technology, and few people are willing to return to the past, that science and technology is such a dominant aspect of American society that it impacts everything we do from the nature of our sex life, to how we brush our teeth, to fundamental questions of human life. Science and technology have progressed to the point that value dilemmas must be faced today which simply did not exist ten years ago. Ten years ago many of our sick and elderly died; now we keep



them alive. Ten years ago, premature and deformed babies died; now we keep them alive, sometimes against the wishes of their parents? Ten years ago, one hardly thought it possible to create artificial life; now it is a reality.

So Why Integrate?

These examples of the interface of science and society suggest several persuasive reasons for integrating science and social studies curriculum in elementary and secondary schools. First, at both a personal and societal level, developments in science and technology have caused us to search for new values, values which frequently clash with those long standing from the past. There are many recent examples. At one time in our society we valued large families, and the primary function of marriage and sexual intercourse was to have babies. Now with the pressure of over-population and a highly industrialized society and with the developments of effective birth control techniques, what was once done primarily for procreation is now done for recreation. Yet recreational sex made more feasible through advances in science and technology has raised serious moral, religious, and ethical questions and has brought new values into direct confrontation with some old values.

Even the development of the super-sonic transport has created a value dilemma. Although most of us still value quickness and efficiency in transportation, an increasing awareness of the limited capacity of our globe to absorb the noise and pollution of modern air transport brings out the value dilemma. Do we want speedy transportation or a clean and serene environment? Can we have both? Now that we have the capacity to build a SST, the public question is should we do it?

DNA research is yet another example of science and technology forcing



us to consider both old and new values. At the same time that we marvel at the wonders of recombinant DNA research, we ponder its meaning and question the nature of creation itself.

These tremendous social impacts of science and technology on our personal and collective values brings us to another important justification for integrating science and social studies; the crucial responsibility . of citizen action in social policy decisions involving science and technology. As we have become more aware of both the blessings and limitations of science, key questions are raised as to who should assume responsibility for placing proper controls on emerging technologies. a 1977 article in CHANGE magazine, Wm. J. McGill, President of Columbia University, argued that control of science should be in the hands of the scientists through prestigious commissions, so that decisions are made on expert knowledge and not as a result of over zealous public advocacy. 2 It seems to us however, that Mr. McGill was asking the wrong question, for there seems little doubt that decisions concerning the use of science and technology will be made in the public arena. Their impact on the general public is too great to expect less in a democracy. The key issue, then, is not to avoid the public as a component in decision making, but instead how to make those public decisions the very best that we can to insure that progress and justice proceed simultaneously. We must decide as educators how best to provide the public with the knowledge, skills, and attitudes which will make them informed decision makers.

But this leads to another question, if we integrate, can we still teach good science and good social science? Our answer is yes, and, indeed, another justification for integration. Not only can we continue to teach good science and good social science, but we can do so within a framework which focuses on topic areas important in the present and

future lives of our students while at the same time providing them with the skills and attitudes necessary for informed decision making. A focus on topic areas important in the present and future lives of our students also has the advantage of placing learning in a meaningful context. Although the cry for relevance is frequently overworked, we can not ignore the importance of contemporary topics which students associate with their current life space.

We have been impressed by the fact that in many respects both science and social science deal with the same general ideas (see Figure 1).

FIGURE 1(3)

SCIENCE AND SOCIETY TOPICS FOR INVESTIGATION Concepts of Science Concepts of Social Science 1. Observation 1. Observation 2. Interaction 2. Interaction 3. Relationship among variables 3. Relationships (social) 4. System 4. System 5. Laws (formal and informal) Rules (legal-sanctions) Common Skill Building 1. Defining the problem 2. Developing a tentative answer (hypothesizing) 3. Testing the tentative answer against data 4. Developing a conclusion 5. Applying the conclusion 6. Evaluation Considering Social Consequences 1. So what? 2. What if? 3. Values in conflict

Some of these general ideas or concepts that we deal with are interaction, the relationship of variables, the nature of system, and formal and informal rules. But the sciences and social sciences also share a common mode of problem solving from problem identification to problem solution, and in both there is a strong emphasis on skills: skills of classification, inference, control of variables, and many others. When knowledge and skills are connected to a consideration of the social consequences of science and technology, and to the key value questions so provalent in our modern world, the teacher can play a powerful role in helping our citizens of the future understand the complex nature of science in society.

National Assessment Science Objectives, Third Assessment. In the general framework for objectives in the cognitive domain, Science and Society is given equal importance with Science Content and Science Process. Further, when the advisory group made judgments about the relative importance of student outcomes in various categories of Content, Process, and Science and Society, 20% of the total weighting involved elements directly related to the science and society category with an additional 40% clearly related to the integration of science and society—integrated topics, process/methods, and decision making (see Figure 2).

According to Paul Hurd, in the 1978 report to the National Science Foundation on Early Adolescence, the curriculum of the future will be societal rather than discipline based. That is, the subject matter will be interdisciplinary, selected for its general usefulness to students and their lives in society, rather than displaying the strict, isolated quality of the disciplines, one by one. Process skills will be formed as much for group and individual decision making as for fostering inquiry.



Values issues in science will be considered, and curriculum resources will extend beyond the classroom to museums, industries, school camps, and the out-of-doors.

Figure 2⁽⁵⁾
COCNITIVE OBJECTIVES MATRIX

	•	Knowledge	Compre- hension	Appli- cation	Syn- thesis	Fercent Weighting
CONTENT	Biology					15
• .	Physical Science		·			15
	Earth science				•	10
PROCESSES	Integrated topics					10
	Process/methods					18
	Decision making					12
SCIENCE AND SOCIETY	Societal problems					7
	Science and self		٠			7
	Applied science					6
Percent Weighting .		20%	20%	40%	20%	100%
	•					TOTAL

Theory In To Practice

For purposes of clarifying the theory portion of this paper with practice, four exemplars of curricular models plus one curriculum development model have been selected for primary emphasis. These are (1) People and Technology (PAT), (2) Human Sciences Program (HSP), (3) NSTA, Project on Energy Enriched Curriculum (PEEC), (4) Man Curriculum, and (5) Federation for Unified Science Education (FUSE - a curriculum development model).

People and Technology

One curricular program which we think is especially meritorious is People and Technology (PAT), developed by the School and Society Division



high students that examines the relationship between technology and human behavior. Through case studies, construction exercises, and community investigations students study the whaling industry on 19th century Nantucket Island and the building and social impact of the construction of the Volta Dam in Ghana. Inquiry is guided by five organizing questions: (1) What is technology? (2) How do people help shape it's use? (3) How does technology affect society? (4) How does technology affect the natural environment? and (5) How can we use technology to create a more humane way of life?

The underlying assumptions in the course about the nature of technology and society and about the nature of the adolescent learner are
especially interesting in that they typify some of the potentials which
exist in a course which integrates content of the sciences and social
sciences. These assumptions are:

- 1. Technology comes of people; it is part of our humanity. Within limits, we can control technology, for we are its creators.
- 2. Technological and social systems are closely interwoven; each affects the other. One problem today is to bring these two systems into some adjustment with each other. In working out this adjustment between systems, we must consider and be responsive to human and environmental needs.
- 3. People view technology differently, depending on their own needs and . values.
- 4. The present and potential impact of modern technology is so great that decisions about its use must be made responsibly. There are no simple solutions. Every decision carries costs and benefits.
- 5. Before we can make choices about the use of technology, we must understand what it is, and realize that social, economic, and political forces determines its use. These forces are controlled by people and reflect our values.
- 6. As citizens in a democratic society, we have a responsibility to become informed about technological issues, clarify our values, and, after careful analysis, involve ourselves in the process of influencing decisions that affect the quality of life and the environment.

7. It is possible to change society, but doing so is difficult. Change can be achieved through knowledge, understanding, and thoughtful actions. As individuals and members of groups, people can come to use technology in increasingly humane, positive ways.

Assumptions about the nature of the adolescent learner which guided the development of PAT include that they (1) are moving from concrete to abstract thought, (2) are active and energetic, (3) are awkward in social situations, (4) are enthusiastic, and full of spirit, and (5) are developing a values system.

The curriculum developers at EDS are to be congratulated for developing a course of study around these particular assumptions. Unfortunately, no commercial publisher has published PAT and it is no longer available from EDC.

Human Sciences Program (HSP)

The <u>Human Sciences Program</u> was developed by the Biological Sciences Curriculum Study in Boulder, Colorado. BSCS developed this program after making an intensive study of the early adolescent so that the curriculum materials would have wide appeal for the 10 to 14 year old student. The curriculum developers included concept and processes from history, anthropology, sociology, psychology and science and integrated them into fifte in modules organized into three learning levels (see figure 3).

FIGURE 3⁽⁸⁾

· Leyel I	Level II	• • • • • •
	rever it	Level III
LEARNING		KNOWING
SENSEOR NONSENSE?	PERCEPTION -	K.IOIIIIII
MOTION -	RULES	INVENTION
SURROUNDINGS ————	INTERRELATIONSHIPS	
GROWING -	REPRODUCTION	CHANGE
EEHAVIOR	WHAT FIT WHERE?	FEELING FIT

The concepts, principles, and modes of inquiry included in HSP come from life science, physical science, earth science and the behavioral sciences thus providing a multidisciplinary perspective to the program. Life sciences include content drawn from biology and human biology, with emphasis on behavior and ecological relationships. Chemistry and physics are included under the physical sciences. Earth science includes astronomy, geology, and meteorology. Anthropology, psychology, and sociology reflect the behavioral science emphasis. Science process skills, communication and coding skills, and personal skills compliment the interdisciplinary aspects of the program.

The HSP is developmentally based in that it provides experiences that assist students as they pass through the often difficult transition period between childhood and adolescence.

Fifteen modules have been developed in the program. Each one is designed to provide enough material for 200 students and seven to ten weeks instruction.

NSTA - Project for an Energy-Enriched Curriculum 10

Through its Project for an Energy-Enriched Curriculum, sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, the National Science Teachers Association has produced a series of thirty-four (34) energy instructional packets for elementary and secondary schools. Written by social studies and science teachers, these materials are designed for convenient infusion into the existing curriculum. They feature a wide range of activities which encourage direct student participation while introducing basic energy concepts.



-10-

Integration of Science and Social Studies - A Practical Application

The Upper St. Clair School District, Upper St. Clair, PA (1980) has been experimenting with integration of science and social studies in grades 6 through 8 over a period of several years. 11 The district describes the curriculum as the integration of cultural studies and science. Students progress through the program by means of group pacing through separate content, continuous progress through interest content, and individual pacing through integrated content. Examples of integrated units are:

CULTURAL STUDIES-SCIENCE INTEGRATION

Basic Themes:

- 1. Man as a Biological Organism
- 2. Man in Relation to his Total Environment

Topics for Phase III Integration:

Level One

- 1. The Interaction of Man and Environment
- 2. Food and Agriculture
- 3. Land, Climate and Vegetation
- 4. Water, Air and Weather

Level Two

- . 1. The Earth's Natural Resources
 - 2. Science and Technology: Impact on Man
 - 3. Population: One Earth, Many People
 - 4. Science and Conflict

Level Three

- 1. Transportation and Communication
- 2. Science and Man's Health
- 3. Environmental Problems
- · 4. Urban Planning for the Future

Level Four

- 1. Natural Resources, Machines and Change
- 2. Man and His Global Environment
- 3. Energy: Challenge to Man
- 4. Science and Decision-Making in a Democracy

Course objectives for the Phase III integration are not available, but the topics listed should provide the reader with an understanding



of the areas of knowledge that can be integrated.

Federation for Unified Science Education (FUSE)

Establishment of the Center for Unified Science Education was made possible by a grant from the National Science Foundation to FUSE and by additional support from The Ohio State University and ERIC for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education. It is presently located at Capital University in Columbus, Ohio. 12

The Center has been established to disseminate the concept of unified science education and to facilitate the implementation of high quality unified science programs. These purposes correspond to the originating purposes of the Federation for Unified Science Education.

In working with schools considering implementation of a unified science program, personnel from the Center for Unified Science Education have been recommending a nine-phase approach:

- (1) Assemble a working group of teachers who have concerns and responsibilities for the science program. The group should represent K-12 or as broad a grade range as possible.
- (2) Explore, as a group, the concept of unified science education and the diverse specific programs that it has fostered.
- (3) Review and, if appropriate, revise the objectives and purposes for the total science program. Consider the local implications of the statement on objectives by National Assessment, 1979.
- (4) Assess the current program in terms of present objectives and purposes. Use quantitative data if possible, qualitative if necessary, but do not get bogged down in lengthy data acquisition projects.
- (5) Assemble a group of outside consultants. The group should include college people from the sciences and education, people who have had experience in developing unified science programs, and local people who will be concerned about changes in the science curriculum.
- (6) Establish guidelines for the unified science program, a schedule for producing the program, and identification of necessary

financial resources. Plan to implement only one grade level per year.

- (7). Design the program using modular units as the basic components. Include at least one science society issue in each unit. Evaluation in terms of the guidelines established in Phase 6 should be considered an integral part of the program. People who will actually teach the program must be involved in this phase.
 - (8) Produce the program materials.
 - (9) Field test the Program.

These nine phases should be regarded as tentative and changes should be expected in actual use.

The Center for Unified Science Education has established resources intended to be of use to working groups in schools in each of the recommended nine phases described previously.

These resources include detailed unified science program descriptions at all grade levels, an extensive collection of student materials from unified science programs, exemplary modular units, and evaluative studies and instruments related to unified science programs.

Conclusions

- 1. There is ample evidence from the literature, curriculum studies and research on how children learn, that the integration of science and social sciences curricula is feasible and should play a more dominant role in curriculum development. The National Assessment objectives are powerful descriptors of change in objectives to accomplish scientific literacy.
- 2. Science and social science deal with many similar ideas: integrative concepts, processes, and problem solving models. When knowledge and skills are connected to the social consequences of science and tecnnology a powerful decision making tool is available for use by citizens. The end result should provide citizens the power to make social policy



decisions related to science and technology.

3. Integration of science and social sciences assists students in finding meaning in these subjects in relation to the kind of world in which they will spend their lives. Recent National Assessment data indicated that about 37% of the 9, 13, and 17 year olds felt that things thay learned in science would be more useful in the future than to their present everyday life. 13 Students have been taught the basic constructs of science and its investigative procedures, but nothing follows. There is no mention in the curriculum of transactions between science and society, nor the intellectual and aesthetic benefits of science. The average citizen seeks relevance and significance for what he is expected to learn and does so in a manner that helps him toward goals he cares about. "Good" science and "good" social sciences can be taught within a framework which focuses on problem solving and decision making, while placing learning in a meaningful context.

REFERENCES

- 1.. Ann Arbor News, February 22, 1981
- 2. William J. McGill, "Public Control of Science Is Not the Answer,"

 The Magazine of Learning Change, Vol. 9, No. 12, Dec., 1977, pp. 8-9.
- 3. Carl F. Berger and Thomas J. Switzer, "Science and Society: Perspectives for Survival," paper delivered at the meeting of the Pennsylvania Science Teachers Association, Pittsburgh, PA, Nov. 8, 1979.
- 4. Paul Hurd, ed. <u>Early Adolescence</u>, <u>Perspectives</u>, and <u>Recommendations</u> to the National <u>Science Foundation</u>. Washington DC: U.S. Government <u>Printing Office</u>, 1978.
- 5. Science Objectives for the Third Assessment National Assessment of Educational Progress, Denver, CO, 1979, page 3.
- 6. Tooling Up: Opening Activities for People and Technology, Teachers Guide, Education Development Center, Inc., 1976, p. 7.
- 7. Ibid, pp. 3-4.
- 8. NOTE: Further suggestions about teaching HSP can be obtained through the excellent guide, <u>Teaching Human Sciences</u>, published by the <u>BSCS</u>, P.O. Box 930. Boulder, Colorado, 80306. Information about the purchase of HSP modules can be obtained from C and F Associates, P.O. Box 41, 908 W. Wilson Street, Batavia, IL 60510.
- 9. Biological Sciences Curriculum Studies, "The Human Sciences Program," BSCS Journal, Vol. 3, No. 2, April, 1980, page 1.
- 10. NOTE: NSTA Project for an Energy Enriched Curriculum materials can be obtained from the Department of Energy, Technical Information Center, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37830. Materials are free.
- 11. NOTE: Further information can be obtained from either James Smoyer or William Tomey, Upper St. Clair Schools, Upper St. Clair, PA 15241.
- 12. NOTE: Further information can be obtained about FUSE from Dr. Victor Showalter, FUSE Center, Capital University, Columbus, OH 43209.
- 13. National Assessment of Educational Progress, <u>Attitudes Toward Science</u> A summary of results from the 1976-1977 National Assessment of Science Report, No. 08-5-02, Denver, CO, 1979.

